-USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 11/07/2022
In re:	03-MD-01570 (GBD)(SN)
TERRORIST ATTACKS ON SEPTEMBER 11, 2001	ORDER

SARAH NETBURN, United States Magistrate Judge:

This document relates to:

Ashton, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al, No. 02-cv-06977

Bauer, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., No. 02-cv-07236

Burlingame, et al. v. Bin Laden, et al., No. 02-cv-07230

Schneider, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., No. 02-cv-07209

Plaintiffs in <u>Ashton</u>, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., No. 02-cv-06977 ("<u>Ashton</u>"), <u>Bauer</u>, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic Army, et al., No. 02-cv-07236 ("<u>Bauer</u>"), <u>Burlingame</u>, et al. v. <u>Bin Laden et al.</u>, No. 02-cv-07230 ("<u>Burlingame</u>"), and <u>Schneider</u>, et al. v. al Qaeda Islamic <u>Army</u>, et al., No. 02-cv-07209 ("<u>Schneider</u>"), (collectively, the "<u>Ashton</u> Plaintiffs"), move to amend their complaint under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. ECF Nos. 8713. They also request certain additional considerations to facilitate amendment.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2) permits a party to amend its complaint with the court's leave. Courts are directed to "freely give leave when justice so requires." <u>Id.</u> This decision is committed to the discretion of the court, <u>McCarthy v. Dun & Bradstreet Corp.</u>, 482 F.3d 184, 200 (2d Cir. 2007), but granting "leave to amend is the 'usual practice,'" <u>Bank v. Gohealth, LLC</u>, No. 21-cv-1287, 2022 WL 1132503, at *1 (2d Cir. Apr. 18, 2022) (quoting <u>Hayden v. Cnty. of Nassau</u>, 180 F.3d 42, 53 (2d Cir. 1999)). <u>See Foman v. Davis</u>, 371 U.S. 178,

¹ Unless otherwise noted, all ECF numbers refer to the main MDL docket, No. 03-md-01570.

182 (1962) (finding that leave to amend should be granted "[i]n the absence of any apparent or

declared reason—such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant,

repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the

opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment, futility of amendment "). These

claims are not futile, will not unduly delay these proceedings or prejudice the Taliban, and were

not filed in bad faith or with a dilatory motive. The Ashton Plaintiffs' motion is therefore

GRANTED, and it is further ORDERED that:

 The underlying Sixth Amended Complaint in <u>Ashton</u>, No. 02-cv-06977, is amended to include the parties identified in the <u>Ashton</u> Plaintiffs' exhibits at ECF

Nos. 8715-1, 8715-2, 8715-3, and 8715-4 as parties in the action against the

Taliban;

• These amendments supplement, but do not displace, the underlying operative

Sixth Amended Complaint in Ashton, No. 02-cv-06977;

• Prior rulings, orders, and judgments entered in this case remain in effect as to all

parties; and

Further service on the Taliban is not required as a result of these amendments, and

prior service orders apply, including the Court's order on service by publication at

ECF No. 445.

The Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to terminate the motion at ECF No. 8713

and the related motions at ECF No. 1825 in Ashton, No. 02-cv-06977, ECF No. 201 in Bauer,

No. 02-cv-07236, ECF No. 257 in Burlingame, No. 02-cv-07230, and ECF No. 93 in Schneider,

No. 02-cv-07209.

SO ORDERED.

SARAH NETBURN

United States Magistrate Judge

DATED: No

November 7, 2022

New York, New York

2